# **EXHIBIT C**

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

JOHN BARNHARDT, ET AL

Plaintiffs

V.

CASE NO. 4:65-cv-1300-HTW-LGI

MERIDIAN MUNICIPAL SEPARATE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Defendant.

# TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

BEFORE HONORABLE HENRY T. WINGATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

May 17, 2023 Jackson, Mississippi

The proceedings were reported by a stenographic court reporter. The transcript was produced using computer-aided transcription.

Margaret Wasmund, RDR, CRR, CRC
Court Reporter
2851 Shiloh Road
Pelahatchie, Mississippi 39145
margaretwasmund@qmail.com/601-329-6113

#### APPEARANCES:

#### REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFFS:

John Spencer Cusick, Esquire NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund, Inc. 40 Rector Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10006-1738

#### REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT:

John Simeon Hooks, Esquire Adams and Reese 1018 Highland Colony Parkway, Suite 800 Ridgeland, MS 39157

John G. Compton, Esquire Witherspoon & Compton, LLC 1100 23rd Avenue Meridian, MS 39301

### REPRESENTING THE INTERVENOR:

Aria Vaughan, Esquire Natane Singleton, Esquire U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530

## ALSO PRESENT:

Dr. Amy Carter, Superintendent Meridian Public School District court might recall, was important to the community.

We have heard from the Department of Justice who has indicated they have no opposition to this latest idea of reconfiguring the elementary schools. And we had hoped that the Legal Defense Fund today would indicate that it has no opposition given the fact that the school district has been attempting to accommodate the desire of some members of the community to have some presence there in the building at Carver Middle School.

So, Your Honor, that's kind of the lay of the land. And we do have a proposed order we can submit to the court regarding the latest ideas that Dr. Carter has. And I might call upon her if she has anything to add, Your Honor, to explain in further detail or correct anything I might have said.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I have read these matters, and I will call on her in just a moment. But I want to know whether the Legal Defense Fund has any objections here. I recognize that the Department of Justice does not, so let me turn to the Legal Defense Fund.

MR. CUSICK: Sure. And thank you, Your Honor. And we, the Legal Defense Fund, appreciate the court's willingness to hold this status conference. We were the parties in jointly with defendants asking for that. Our position is that, as we've explained, that the pending modification motion, that one

that Mr. Hooks referenced first, ECF 167, that that may impact the assessment of this new reconfiguration plan.

And we understand and appreciate the defendant's request for us to take a position on that one. But as we've explained to them and to you, Your Honor, today that we can't do so until there's a ruling on that first motion to see if Carver Middle School was properly closed, because some of the justifications, at least from our understanding, on some of the reconfiguration plans here might have different interests and might at some points be a little bit in tension with some of the justifications proposed previously that you heard at the evidentiary hearing.

And so I think the purpose of us asking for the status conference today was just to see if Your Honor had any questions on that initial pending motion before you resolve that. And then we are happy to, once that's resolved, to fully be able to assess the proposed second modification here relating to the elementary schools.

THE COURT: So then you want to hear the court's ruling on the middle school before we start talking about the elementary school; is that so?

MR. CUSICK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And with regard to the middle school, you are still opposed to the actions taken by the school system relative to the middle school; is that so?